



## THE INFLUENCE OF TANNERY EFFLUENT ON BIOCHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS IN THE TISSUES OF *OREOCHROMIS MOSSAMBICUS*

**A.PARITHA BHANU\*, K.J.KHUSNUMA BEGAM AND M.DEEPAK**

*P.G. and Research Department of Zoology, Chikkaiah Naicker College, Erode-638004, Tamilnadu , India.*

### ABSTRACT

Bioassays were carried out to find out LC<sub>50</sub> 96 hr value of the tannery effluent on the freshwater teleost fish *O.mossambicus* and it was found to be 15%. The effluent was found to bring alterations in various biochemical constituents in tissues like gills, liver and intestine of the fishes. There was a significant increase in the concentrations of the total free amino acids in all the tissues of *O.mossambicus*, whereas overall decrease in the total protein, free sugars and total proteins in gills, liver and intestine. The decrement of proteins, free sugars and lipids could be due to utilization of these as an energy source under the condition of emergency during prolonged stress. Thus the tannery effluent caused alterations in the fundamental biochemical mechanism in the present experimental animal leading to mortality in them.

**KEYWORDS:** Bioassay, *Oreochromis mossambicus*, total protein, free sugar, lipid, concentration.



**A.PARITHA BHANU**

P.G. and Research Department of Zoology,  
Chikkaiah Naicker College, Erode-638004, Tamilnadu,India.

\*Corresponding author

## INTRODUCTION

By a tremendous increase in human population with varying degree of pollution of the biosphere, the living organisms knowingly or unknowingly absorb toxicants significantly to produce chronic and non specific symptoms. In India, the increase in number of small and large scale industries has led to the production of enormous volume of complex wastes. Various industrial effluents that affect the quality of water bodies have been characterized by the investigator<sup>1</sup>. The tannery industries are one of the water based industries as they use large quantity of water and chemicals in processing the leather. Of all the industrial wastes, the tannery effluent is shown to be a dangerous pollutant<sup>2</sup>. Literature are available to show the adverse effect of tannery effluent on aquatic organisms<sup>3,4,5</sup>. In general, the industrial effluents including the tannery wastes are shown to affect respiration, food utilization, enzyme activity, haematology and biochemistry of fishes. The freshwater fishes constitute an important link in the food chain as top consumers in aquatic ecosystem and they are available as prey to the predators in terrestrial ecosystem. Moreover most of the freshwater fishes also form protein rich food for human beings. But unfortunately, the majority of xenobiotic substances enter into the body of fishes as the water bodies are mostly contaminated by number of industrial effluents. The fishes are sensitive to the contamination of

water so that the pollutants significantly damage their physiological and biochemical processes<sup>6</sup>.

In the recent past, the adverse effects of heavy metals, pesticide and paper and pulp mill effluent have been elucidated with reference to histological changes in fishes<sup>7,8,9</sup>. As studies pertaining to the toxicity of tannery effluent on the biochemical constituents in the tissues are scanty, the present work has been carried out to assess the toxic impact of tannery effluent on some biochemical parameters in the blood of *Oreochromis mossambicus*.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bioassays were carried out by using tannery effluent collected from one of the local tanneries and locally collected fishes of known weight and size were used in the present study. The LC<sub>50</sub> 96 hrs value of the effluent to the fishes was found<sup>10</sup>. Then a group of ten fish were reared in different sublethal concentrations along with appropriate control for 30 days. 100mg of liver, intestine and gills were taken out and was homogenated in an electrically operated homogenizer and the homogenates were used for analyses. Various biochemical parameters such as total free aminoacids, total proteins, total free sugar and total lipids were estimated.<sup>11,12,13,14</sup>. The results were statistically analyzed and tabulated.

**Table 1**  
**The concentration of total free amino acids (mg/100mg) in different tissues of control and tannery effluent treated fish *O.mossambicus* (Each value is the mean  $\pm$  SD of 5 observations).**

| Concentration of the effluent (%) | Total free amino acids (mg/100mg) |                              |                              |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                                   | Gill                              | Liver                        | Intestine                    |
| Control                           | 18.64 $\pm$ 0.13                  | 34.49 $\pm$ 1.30             | 20.63 $\pm$ 0.40             |
| 0.5                               | 18.61 $\pm$ 0.19*<br>+2.56%       | 38.24 $\pm$ 1.24NS<br>+7.37% | 12.49 $\pm$ 0.31*<br>+11.85% |
| 1.0                               | 18.96 $\pm$ 0.18*<br>+2.87%       | 42.45 $\pm$ 1.68*<br>+18.25% | 13.18 $\pm$ 0.52*<br>+18.23% |
| 1.5                               | 19.20 $\pm$ 0.15*<br>+2.94%       | 45.63 $\pm$ 1.94*<br>+30.53% | 15.46 $\pm$ 0.60*<br>+25.06% |
| 2.0                               | 19.43 $\pm$ 0.11*<br>+5.94%       | 49.91 $\pm$ 1.03*<br>+36.78% | 16.87 $\pm$ 0.54*<br>+33.06% |
| 2.5                               | 20.85 $\pm$ 0.11*<br>+8.23%       | 52.68 $\pm$ 1.11*<br>+43.55% | 19.63 $\pm$ 0.43*<br>+39.46% |

+ indicates increase over control  
- indicates decrease over control

\* indicates significant (t value)  
NS indicates non-significant

**Table 2**

**The concentration of total protein (mg / 100 mg) in different tissues of control and tannery effluent treated fish *O.mossambicus* (Each value is the mean  $\pm$  SD of 5 observations)**

| Concentration of the effluent (%) | Total Protein (mg/100mg)      |                               |                              |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                                   | Gill                          | Liver                         | Intestine                    |
| Control                           | 34.66 $\pm$ 0.88              | 48.34 $\pm$ 1.21              | 37.33 $\pm$ 1.03             |
| 0.5                               | 32.91 $\pm$ -0.64<br>-55.05%  | 46.49 $\pm$ 1.97NS<br>-43.83% | 35.43 $\pm$ 1.60*<br>-25.09% |
| 1.0                               | 29.30 $\pm$ -1.03*<br>+42.29% | 41.93 $\pm$ 2.23*<br>-33.26%  | 31.84 $\pm$ 1.84*<br>-14.71% |
| 1.5                               | 27.19 $\pm$ -1.16*<br>-31.16% | 38.86 $\pm$ 1.73*<br>-29.61%  | 28.83 $\pm$ 1.93*<br>-12.77% |
| 2.0                               | 25.09 $\pm$ -1.24*<br>-23.38% | 33.08 $\pm$ 2.05*<br>-21.57%  | 24.14 $\pm$ 1.69*<br>-10.33% |
| 2.5                               | 22.41 $\pm$ -0.94*<br>-19.25% | 30.10 $\pm$ 1.04*<br>-17.73%  | 21.92 $\pm$ 1.12<br>-8.49%   |

+ indicates increase over control  
-indicates decrease over control

\* indicates significant (t value)  
NS indicates non-significant

**Table 3**

**The concentration of total free sugars (mg / 100 mg) in different tissues of control and tannery effluent treated fish *O.mossambicus* (Each value is the mean  $\pm$  SD of 5 observations)**

| Concentration of the effluent (%) | Total free sugars (mg/100mg) |                               |                               |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                                   | Gill                         | Liver                         | Intestine                     |
| Control                           | 71.50 $\pm$ 1.87             | 73.33 $\pm$ 1.87              | 62.53 $\pm$ 1.60              |
| 0.5                               | 68.63 $\pm$ 1.31<br>-76.64%  | 71.48 $\pm$ 1.90NS<br>-12.52% | 61.84 $\pm$ 1.84*<br>-4.30%   |
| 1.0                               | 58.64 $\pm$ 0.92*<br>-61.96% | 65.83 $\pm$ 2.11*<br>-20.23%  | 63.62 $\pm$ 1.24*<br>-12.74%  |
| 1.5                               | 52.89 $\pm$ 2.02*<br>-57.93% | 49.51 $\pm$ 1.92*<br>-32.48%  | 52.59 $\pm$ 1.38*<br>-15.90%  |
| 2.0                               | 48.63 $\pm$ 2.52*<br>-48.69% | 44.64 $\pm$ 1.73*<br>-39.12%  | 47.64 $\pm$ 1.42NS<br>-17.42% |
| 2.5                               | 40.50 $\pm$ 0.81*<br>-44.17% | 40.74 $\pm$ 1.21*<br>-44.17%  | 42.81 $\pm$ 1.63NS<br>-18.74% |

+ indicates increase over control  
-indicates decrease over control

\* indicates significant (t value)  
NS indicates non-significant

Table 4

**The concentration of total lipid (mg / 100 mg) in different tissues of control and tannery effluent treated fish *O.mossambicus* (Each value is the mean  $\pm$  SD of 5 observations)**

| Concentration of the effluent (%) | Total Lipid (mg/100mg)       |                              |                              |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                                   | Gill                         | Liver                        | Intestine                    |
| Control                           | 9.65 $\pm$ 1.16              | 9.42 $\pm$ 1.22              | 10.63 $\pm$ 2.21             |
| 0.5                               | 8.87 $\pm$ 1.031NS<br>-7.5%  | 8.11 $\pm$ 1.01*<br>-14.16%  | 9.91 $\pm$ 2.03NS<br>-5.09%  |
| 1.0                               | 6.96 $\pm$ 0.781*<br>-27.82% | 7.46 $\pm$ 0.921*<br>-21.96% | 9.65 $\pm$ 1.75NS<br>-8.25%  |
| 1.5                               | 5.62 $\pm$ 0.703*<br>-42.26% | 6.53 $\pm$ 0.775*<br>-21.66% | 8.88 $\pm$ 1.61NS<br>-14.97% |
| 2.0                               | 5.34 $\pm$ 0.695*<br>-45.50% | 5.32 $\pm$ 0.721*<br>-44.24% | 7.97 $\pm$ 1.90*<br>-23.51%  |
| 2.5                               | 4.61 $\pm$ 0.500*<br>-52.30% | 4.61 $\pm$ 0.506*<br>-51.3%  | 7.72 $\pm$ 1.00*<br>-26.58%  |

+ indicates increase over control  
-indicates decrease over control

\* indicates significant (t value)  
NS indicates non-significant

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present study the sublethal doses of tannery effluent are found to cause significant increase in the concentration of total free amino acids in gill, liver and intestine is corroborated.<sup>15,16,17</sup> There was an overall decrease in biochemical constituents like total proteins, total free sugars and total lipids in all the tissues like gill, liver and intestine. The depletion of protein would suggest as intensive proteolysis which contributes to the increase in the free amino acids. This is supported by the

findings of few authors.<sup>18,19,20,21</sup> The decrement of total free sugars in all the tissues confirms that extensive energy demand due to the stress caused by the effluent. This view is further strengthened by few authors.<sup>22,23,24</sup> Accordingly, a decline in total lipids in the gill, liver and intestine of the species in the present study could be due to utilization of the reserve source of energy during metabolic disruptions. This falls in agreement with the observations of many investigators.<sup>25,26</sup>

## REFERENCES

1. Baruah.B.R., Baruah.D and Das.M- 1996. Study on the effect of paper mill effluent on the water quality of receiving wet land. *Pollution Research*, 15(4) : 389-393.
2. Arora,H.C., Chattopadyaya S.Sharma.V.P., Tapan Rough and Elyas,S. 1973. A short term study on the eutrophication of Gomati river in Lucknow region. *Proceedings of symposium on environmental pollution (C.P.H.E.R.I. Nagpur)* 44-58.
3. Jayachandran.K.P.S. and Chockalingam, S. 1987 Effects of tannery effluent on the respiratory parameters and bio chemical constituents in air breathing fish *Channa punctatus*. *Comp. physiol. Ecol.* 12(4) : 197-200.
4. Thorat.S.R 2001. Histopathological changes in the intestine of the fish, *Channa gachua* exposed to tannery effluent. *Poll. Res.*, 20(2) : 257-270.
5. Paritha Bhanu. A 2003 Studies on the impact of tannery effluent on histological and biochemical aspects during oocyte development and vitellogenesis in the dragonfly *Pantala flavecens* (Fabricius) (Libellulidae : Anisoptera). Ph.D Thesis, Bharathiar University , Coimbatore.
6. Nemesok.J. Orban.L. Aszatalos.B and Vig.E. 1987 Accumulation of pesticides in the organs of carp *Cyprinus carpio* L. at 4 and 20 C. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Taxicol.*, 39: 370-378.

7. Richardson.M.E. Fox.M.R.S and Jr.Fry, B.E 1974 Pathological changes produced in Japanese quail by ingestion of cadmium J.Nutri. 104:0323-338.
8. Bergeri, K.V. and Patil, H.S 1982 Behaviour responses of fresh water fishes *Barbus aurilus* and *Lepidochalichithyes ownfes* to heavy metals. Pro. Ind. Sym. On physiological responses of animals to pollution.
9. Paulose, P.V. and Mahajan.C.L. 1987 Effect of temperature on toxicity and accumulation of mercury compounds in a fish *Gambusia affinis*. Comp. Ecol. 12(1): 52-54.
10. Sprague.G.B. 1973 Measurement of pollution toxicity of fish. I. Biology method for acute toxicity, Water Res., 3 : 793-821.
11. Yemm,E.W. and Cocking, E.C 1957. The determination of amino acids with ninhydrin. Analyst, 80:209-213.
12. Gornall,A.G., Bardawill, C.J. and David, M.M. 1949. Determination of total serum proteins by means of Biuret reaction J.Biol.Chem., 177:551-566.
13. Roe.J.H. 1955 The determination of sugar in blood and spinal fluid with anthrone reagent. J.Biol. Chem. 212: 335-343.
14. Gurr, M.J and Jannes, A.S 1977. Lipid biochemistry – An introduction Chapman Hall, London.
15. Joycee Shoba Rani.,V. and Janaiah.C. 1991. Ammonia metabolism in freshwater teleost, *Clarias batrachus* (Linn) on response to trichloroform. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 46:731-737.
16. Nagabhushanam.R., Kedarkar, M.S and Sarojini R. 1983 Text book of animal physiology, Oxford and IBH publishing Co., New Delhi.
17. Rajamannar.K and Manohar.L..1998. Sub-lethal toxicity of certain pesticides on carbohydrates, proteins 6 aminoacids in *Labeo rohita*., J. Ecobiol. 10(3): 185-191.
18. Shakori Abdul Rauf, Saleem Akhtar Zaheer and Muhammad Salim Ahamed 1976. Effects of malathion, dieldrin and endrin on blood serum proteins and free amino acid pool of *Channa punctatus*(Block) Pak.J.Zool.8(2) : 124-134.
19. Kabeer, A.I., Begum.M.D. Sivaiah S. and Ramana Rao.K.V. 1978. Effect of malathion on free aminoacid, total proteins glycogen and some enzymes of pelecypod, *Lamellidens, marginalis*,(Lamarch). Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci (B) L 377-381.
20. Narasimha Murthy, B. and RananaRao. K.V. 1985. Alterations in the nitrogen metabolism in liver of fish, *Tilapia mossambica* (peters) under lindane toxicity. Proc. 6<sup>th</sup> Nat. Symp. Life Sci. 13-22.
21. Umminger.B.L 1970. Physiological studies on super cooled kill fish *Fundulus heterochitus* III, carbohydrate metabolism and survival at sub zero temperature. J. Exp. Zool., 173:159-174.
22. Tilak.T.S Veeraiah.K., Anitasusan.T and Yacobu 2001. Toxicity and residue studies of fenvalerate to some selected F.W. fishes. J.E. Environ. Biol., 22(3):177-180.
23. Mukhopadhyay.P.K. and Dehadrai 1980. Biochemical changes in the air breathing cat fish, *Clarias batrachus* (Linn) exposed to malathion. Environ. Pollut. Ser. A.22, 149-158.
24. Jabakumar.S.R.D., Kumaraguru.A.K and Jayaraman.J. 1993. Accumulation and dissipation of phosphomidon in the tissues of fresh water fish *Oreochromis mossambicus* comp. Physiol. Ecol., 18(1) : 12-17.
25. Medda.C., Sarkar, S.K., Ganguly, S. and Bhattacharya, B. 1993. Effect of phosphamidon on three important bioindicators and their recovery in two freshwater carps *Labeo rohita* and *Cyprinus mrigala*, Pollut. Res., 21(3): 151-157.
26. Govindan,V.S., Jacob, L. and Devika,R 1994 Toxicity and metabolic changes in *Gambusia affinis* exposed to phosphamidon. J. Ecotoxicol. Env. Monit., 4(1): 1-6.