



STUDY OF MANAGEMENT OF SUBTROCHANTERIC FRACTURE FEMUR BY PROXIMAL FEMORAL NAILING

DR.G.KISHORE ROY AND DR.YEDLAPALLI SUBRAMANYAM

*Professor and HOD, ASRAM Medical College Hospital, MALKAPURAM, ELURU, - 534005,
West Godavari district, Andhra Pradesh.*

ABSTRACT

Upper femoral fractures present a peculiar problem of securing effective neutralization of deforming forces. Various upper femoral devices like dynamic condylar screw, dynamic hip screw with barrel plate, gamma nail, proximal femoral nail etc are being used by various centres and each centre claims reasonably satisfactory results with each type of device. The present study was conducted to assess the utility and effectiveness of Proximal Femoral Nail evolved by AO-ASIF in 1997, for various types of upper femoral fractures. This is a prospective study of 31 patients admitted to orthopaedic units of ASRAM Medical College Hospital between May 2007 and April 2010. The most common mechanism of injury was a simple fall in females and high velocity injuries in males. This study was done to evaluate the management of subtrochanteric fracture of femur by proximal femoral nailing. In our series of 31 cases of subtrochanteric fractures treated with Proximal Femoral Nail, 24 patients had excellent to good outcome at their final follow up. Fair outcome was seen in 4 patients and poor result was seen in 3 patients probably due to inappropriate reduction intraoperatively and associated degenerative joint disease of the knee. From this sample study, we conclude that Proximal Femoral Nail is a good implant for the treatment of Subtrochanteric fractures of femur provided optimal reduction of the fracture and good positioning of the implant is achieved.

KEYWORDS: Subtrochanteric fracture of femur, Proximal femoral nailing (P.F.N)



DR.G.KISHORE ROY

Professor and HOD, ASRAM Medical College Hospital, MALKAPURAM,
ELURU,- 534005, West Godavari district, Andhra Pradesh.

INTRODUCTION

Among the femoral shaft injuries upper femoral fractures present a challenging problem of securing effective neutralization of deforming forces. The mechanical stresses at this level are very high. These factors have made subtrochanteric fractures demand special consideration in orthopaedic trauma., gamma nail, proximal femoral nail etc are being used by various centres and each centre claims reasonably satisfactory results with each type of device. The present study was conducted to assess the utility and effectiveness of Proximal Femoral Nail evolved by AO-ASIF in 1997, for various types of upper femoral fractures

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study consists of the patients admitted to orthopaedic units of ASRAM Medical College Hospital between May 2007 and April 2010. 1267 fracture cases were treated in the Department of Orthopaedics, AlluriSitaramaRaju Academy of Medical Sciences, out of which 264 are femoral fractures and 31 are sub trochanteric fractures. Among the 31 sub trochanteric fractures, 11 were females and 20 were males. The mean age of presentation was 50.8 years. The most common mechanism of injury was a simple fall in females and high velocity injuries (fall from height, Road traffic accidents) in males. Seinsheimer type IIIA fracture pattern was the most common type to be seen in our study. Pathological fractures and subtrochanteric fractures of femur with ipsilateral femoral shaft or neck fractures were excluded

from the study. Upon arrival the patients were assessed clinically and were stabilized haemodynamically. They were then subjected for radiographs of Pelvis with both hips and full length thigh. They were admitted to orthopaedic wards and were maintained on skin traction over a Bohler - Braun frame till surgery. Appropriate hematological investigations were done and surgical fitness was obtained. All the patients were operated on a fracture table in supine position under image intensifier control using standard techniques. Their post operative period was uneventful. Patients were assessed clinically and radiologically on the 2nd post operative day, and at 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and finally 1 year. Healing was judged by both clinical and radiological criteria and functional outcome was reviewed according to the Harris Hip score (modified)³³. Harris Hip Score is slightly modified according to the needs of the Indian patients. i.e squatting; cross legged sitting.

RESULTS

In our series of 31 cases of Subtrochanteric fractures treated with Proximal Femoral Nail, 24 patients had Excellent to good outcome at their final follow up. Fair outcome was seen in 4 patients. 3 patients had poor result. The average time for fracture union was 3.04 months. The mean Harris Hip score at their final follow up was 80.76 which is comparable to international publications in the literature



DISCUSSION

Fractures of the long bones are a major social and economic problem. Of the long bone fractures Subtrochanteric fractures of the femur have peculiar anatomic and mechanical characteristics which pose problems in their management. Closed locked intramedullary devices have a mechanical advantage that effectively addresses these factors. The benefit of minimal surgical exposure, more efficient load transfer through calcare femorale and decreased tensile strain on the implant because of its shorter lever arm makes proximal Femoral Nail a good choice of implant for subtrochanteric fractures of the femur. Various studies have confirmed Proximal Femoral Nail as an acceptable minimally invasive implant for Subtrochanteric fracture. The incidence of subtrochanteric fracture is relatively low. In our study 31 subtrochanteric fractures accounted for 9.7% of all proximal femoral fractures. In other studies 7% - 34% of all femur fractures occurred in the subtrochanteric region^{36, 37}. Most of our patients were of the elderly age group, the average age being 50.8 years. This is significantly lower compared to that quoted by Boldin et al²³ 73 yrs, I.B.Schipper series¹³ 82.2 years. Slight female preponderance was noted in our patients and it was also reported by Boldin et al²³ and I.B.Schipper³⁴. The right femur

involvement is more in this series as compared to 52% in I.B.Schippers series³⁴. Trivial fall was the reason especially in females. High velocity injuries like road traffic accidents and fall from heights accounted for 42.85% of these fractures in males. In W.M.Gadegone's 75% of the fractures were due to domestic falls. Fractures were classified according to Seinsheimer's classification and type III A fracture pattern constituted the highest percentage 42.85% of all fracture patterns. Seinsheimer³ in his original study also noted high incidence of type III A fracture pattern (38.29%) than other fracture patterns. Admission – operation interval in our study varied from 3 – 26 days. Mean interval was high in our series when compared to I.B.Schipper's series³⁴ where it was 2 days. Most of the patients with long injury – operation interval had pre existing uncontrolled medical comorbidities. The mean duration of hospital stay in our series was 19.09 days which is at par with I.B.Schipper's series³⁴ (19 days). Intra operatively fracture reduction was achieved by closed means in 94% (30) of patients and 1 patient with delayed surgery required open reduction. The result of the reduction was considered good in 76% (26) of the patients and acceptable in 4.76% (1) of patients. Poor reduction was noted in 19.04% (4) of patients and it was associated

with poor outcome. In I.B.Schipper's series³⁴ reduction was good to acceptable in 96.2% of their patients and poor reduction was seen only in 2.9% of their patients. Post operatively 1 patient in this study had superficial infection (4.76%) and this settled with parenteral antibiotics. I.B.Schipper noted 4.1% superficial infections and 2.5% deep infections. Fracture union was good in majority of the patients.

ABBREVIATIONS

AO : The Arbeitsgemeinschaft fur osteosynthesen
 ASIF : Association of study of internal fixation
 P.F.N : Proximal Femoral Nailing

REFERENCES

- Hibbs, R.A : The Management of the Tendency of the Upper Fragment to Tilt Forwards in Fractures of the upper third of femur. New York, Med.J.,75: 177-179, 1902
- Sarmiento, Augusto: Functional Bracing of Tibial and Femoral shaft Fractures. ClinOrthop. , 82: 2 – 13, 1972
- Seinsheimer, F ., III: Subtrochanteric fractures of the femur. J.Bone Jt. Surg., 60-A: 300-306, 1978
- JC DeLee, TO Clanton and CA Rockwood : Closed treatment of subtrochanteric fractures of the femur in a modified cast-brace ., J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1981 :63 : 773-779
- WADDELL, J. P.: Subtrochanteric Fractures of the Femur: A Review of 130 Patients. J. Trauma, 19: 582-592, 1979.
- Delbet P. Les fractures du femur. Paris librairiealcan., 1920
- Cleveland, M.Bosworth, D.M. and Thompson, F.R. Intertrochanteric fractures of the femur: A survey of treatment in traction and by internal fixation J. Bone & Joint Surg.,29: 1049 – 1067. ., , 1947
- Evans, E. M. : The treatment of trochanteric fractures of the femur. J. Bone Jt. Surg., 31-B: 190-203, 1949
- Baumgaertel J. Operative treatment of experimental comminuted subtrochanteric fractures Boyd, H. B and Griffin, L.L. classification and treatment of trochanteric fractures.. Arch. Surgery., 1949; 88; 58
- Watson HK, Campbell RD, Wade PA : Classification, treatment and complications of Subtrochanteric fracture. J Trauma 4 : 457 – 480, 1964
- Aronoff, P. M.; Davis, P. M., JR.; and Wickstrom, J. K.:Intramedullary Nail Fixation as Treatment of Subtrochanteric Fractures of the Femur. J. Trauma, 11: 637-650, 1971.
- Distefano, V.J.Nixon.; and Klein, K.S: Stable fixation of the difficult Subtrochanteric fracture. J.Trauma, 12: 1066 – 1070, 1972 83
- Cech, O., and Sosna, A.: Principles of the Surgical Treatment of Subtrochanteric Fractures. Orthop. Clin. North America, 5: 65 1-662, 1974 15. Fielding, J. W., and Magiliato, H. J.: Subtrochanteric Fractures. Surg., Gynec. and Obstet., 122: 555-560, 1966
- Hanson, G. W. ,and Tullos, H. S. : Subtrochanteric Fractures of the Femur Treated with Nail-Plate Devices. A Retrospective Study. Clin.Orthop., 131: 191-194, 1978.
- Zickel R. E. : An Intramedullary Fixation Device for the Proximal Part of the Femur.

CONCLUSION

From this sample study, we conclude that Proximal Femoral Nail (A.O ASIF type) is a good implant for the treatment of Subtrochanteric fractures of femur provided optimal reduction of the fracture and good positioning of the nail and screws are achieved.

- Nine Years' Experience. *J. Bone and Joint Surg.* , 58-A:866-872, Sept. 1976.
16. Halder S C.: The Gamma Nail for peritrochanteric fractures.; *JBJS (Br)* 1992. 74: 340 – 344
 17. Huber S M. Heining. : Pertrochanteric fracture fixation. Photoelastic stress measurement company DHS, Gamma nail & PFN. ; *JBJS (Br)* 1997; 79 B: 166
 18. Simmermacher R K, Bosch A M.; The AO Proximal femoral nail - A new device for unstable proximal femoral fractures. ; *Injury* 1999; 30 : 327 – 332
 19. Herrera, L.J. Domingo, A. Calvo, A. Martinez, J. Cuenca. : A comparative study of trochanteric fractures treated with the Gamma nail or the proximal femoral nail.; *International Orthopaedics* 2002; 26: 365 – 369
 20. Sudan M, Sadowski C et al. : Peritrochanteric fractures. Is there an advantage of intramedullary nail? ; *J Orthop Trauma* 2002; 16 : 386 – 393
 21. Christian Boldin, Franz J Seibert, Florian Fankhauser.: et al.: "The proximal femoral nail (PFN)—a minimal invasive treatment of unstable proximal femoral fractures . *Acta Orthop Scand* 2003; 74(1): 53 - 58.
 22. Daniel F.A. Menezes, Axel Gamulin et al. : Is the Proximal femoral nail a suitable implant of all the trochanteric fractures? : *CORR*; 2005; 439 : 221 – 227
 23. Woo-kie Min, Shin – Yoon et al. : Proximal femoral nail for the treatment of Reverse obliquity intertrochanteric fractures compared with Gamma nail. : *J of Trauma* ; 2007; 73 : 1054 – 1060
 24. MSG Ballal, N Emms, G Thomas. : Proximal femoral nail failures in extra capsular fractures of the hip. : *J of Orthopaedic Surgery* 2008; 16(2) : 146 -9
 25. Si Yong Park, Kyu Hyun Yang et al. : The treatment of Reverse obliquity intertrochanteric fractures with the intramedullary nail. : *J of trauma*; 2008; 65 : 852 – 857.
 26. Currey J. : The biomechanical properties of bone.: *Clin Orthop* 1970; 73: 203 -231
 27. Schipper I B et al. : Biomechanical evaluation of Proximal femoral nail. : *CORR* 2002; 405: 277 – 286
 28. Tencer, A. F.; JOHNSON, K. D.; Johnston, D. W. C. ; and Gill, K.: A Biomechanical Comparison of Various Methods of Stabilization of Subtrochanteric Fractures of the Femur. *J. Orthop. Res.* ,2: 297-305, 1984.
 29. Paul R.T. Kuzyk, Joel Lobo et al. : Biomechanical evaluation of extramedullary versus intramedullary fixation for Reverse obliquity Intertrochanteric fractures. ; *J of Orthop Trauma* 2009 ; 23; 1. : 31 - 38
 30. Fractures in adults – Rockwood and Greens; 6th Edition Harris W H (modified) *JBJS* 1969; 51:1
 31. Schipper I B et al Treatment of Unstable trochanteric fractures : *JBJS* 2004; 86 B : 86 – 94
 32. Bergman GD, Winquist RA, Mayo KA et al. : Subtrochanteric fractures of the femur fixation using the Zickel Nail. : *J Bone Joint Surg* 1987; 69: 1032 – 1040
 33. Velasco RU, Comfort T. : Analysis of treatment problems in Subtrochanteric fractures of the femur. ; *J of Trauma* 1978: 18 : 513 - 22
 34. W.M. Gadegone, Y.S. Salphale. : Proximal femoral nail – An analysis of 100 cases of Proximal femoral fractures with an average follow up of 1 year.; *International Orthopaedics* 2007; 31 : 403 – 408
 35. Russel TA, Taylor JC. : Subtrochanteric fractures of the femur. In : Browner BD, Jupiter JB, Levine AM. : *Skeletal trauma* 2nd Edition, Philadelphia, PA: WB Saunders; 1992 p. 1832 – 78